



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/816,038		03/22/2001	Robert A. Medwick	09785980-0067	6181	
25700	7590	07/09/2004		EXAMINER		
FARJAMI			TILLERY, RASHAWN N			
26522 LA A		A AVENUE, SUIT A 92691	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			2612	13	
				DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No	Applicant(s)				
			110.					
	Office Action Summany	09/816,038		MEDWICK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	The MAN INO DATE of this account of	Rashawn N	•	2612	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the d	over sneet with the d	correspondence addre	ess			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be aply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event tion. s, a reply within the statuto period will apply and will of y statute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tin ry minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comn D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 22 March 2001.						
,		This action is nor	n-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-9 and 14-16</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) <u>4-6,10-13 and 17</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from cons ed. d to.						
Application	on Papers	•						
9)□ -	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
				7.00.01. 01.101111 1 1 0	TOE.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	· (s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ No(s)/Mail Date			(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sugimoto (US6195127).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Sugimoto discloses, in figures 5-9, a method of adjusting image lighting on a preparatory image, comprising:

generating preparatory light for a predetermined preparatory duration (Sugimoto teaches outputting a preliminary light-emission if it is determined that a suitable exposure cannot be obtained; see col. 9, line 26 to col. 11, line 2);

determining an average preparatory image luminance of the preparatory image represented by preparatory image data on the preparatory image data and weighting at least a subset of the preparatory image data (Sugimoto teaches performing a weighting operation on luminance data of a central portion of image data; see col. 11, lines 3-13; and col. 9, lines 1-25); and

Art Unit: 2612

generating a supplemental strobe duration based on the average preparatory image luminance and luminance weightings (Sugimoto teaches calculating a major light-emission based on luminance data and luminance weightings; see col. 11, lines 14-46).

Regarding claims 2 and 8, Sugimoto discloses, in figures 5 and 6, that generating the supplemental strobe duration further comprises:

generating average block luminances for subsets of preparatory image data (Sugimoto teaches generating luminance data);

applying the luminance weightings to at least a subset of the average block luminances to generate weighted average block luminance (Sugimoto teaches applying weighting amount data luminance data in a center of a screen); and

determining the average image luminance based on the weighted average block luminance (see col. 6, line 3 to col. 7, line 21).

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Sugimoto discloses, in figure 1, the luminance weightings are stored in a weighting table (28, 30) and the applying further comprises:

accessing the weighting table to retrieve respective luminance weightings corresponding to portions of the preparatory image (see col. 6, lines 17-67); and multiplying the average block luminance by the respective luminance weightings to provide the average weighted block luminance (see col. 6, lines 17-67).

Art Unit: 2612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugimoto in view of Sugahara et al (US5987261).

Regarding claim 14, Sugimoto discloses, in figure 1, a digital imaging system comprising:

a processor (32) electrically connected to a strobe (38); and an image sensor (12).

Sugimoto does not expressly disclose a memory for storing a supplemental strobe duration. Sugahara teaches a strobe device for generating a fixed amount of preparatory light a plurality of times to set an amount of actual light to be generated during photographing. Sugahara reveals that it is well known in the art to store the time of the actual light in a LUT (see col. 4, lines 1-33). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sugimoto's device by implementing Sugahara's teachings in an effort to consistently and quickly obtain an appropriate amount of light.

Regarding claim 15, Sugimoto discloses, in figures 5 and 6, the processor divides the preparatory image data into subsets and generates average block

Art Unit: 2612

luminances for each subset and applies the luminance weightings to at least a subset of the average block luminances, resulting in weighted average block luminance used to derive the weighted average block luminance (see col. 6, line 3 to col. 7, line 21).

Regarding claim 16, Sugimoto discloses, in figure 1, a weighting table (28, 30) that stores the luminance weighting.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6, 10-13 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 4 and 10, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method of adjusting image lighting on a preparatory image comprising generating preparatory light, determining an average preparatory image luminance and generating a supplemental strobe duration, wherein

the system is capable of generating a look-up table that stores image strobe durations and power values including a preparatory image strobe duration and an associated preparatory power value.

Regarding claims 5 and 11, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method of adjusting image lighting on a preparatory image comprising generating preparatory light, determining an average preparatory image luminance and generating a supplemental strobe duration, wherein

Art Unit: 2612

the system is capable of generating a look-up table that stores image strobe durations and power values including a preparatory image strobe duration and an associated preparatory power value.

Regarding claims 6 and 12, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method of adjusting image lighting on a preparatory image comprising generating preparatory light, determining an average preparatory image luminance and generating a supplemental strobe duration, wherein

the system is capable of generating a look-up table that stores image strobe durations and power values including a preparatory image strobe duration and an associated preparatory power value.

Regarding claim 17, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a digital imaging system comprising a processor connected to a strobe and an image sensor coupled to a memory, wherein

the processor is capable of accessing a look-up table in the memory that stores image strobe durations and power values including a preparatory image strobe duration and an associated preparatory power value.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Takaoka teaches an image processing system utilizing light distribution; Steinberg et al teach an intelligent camera flash system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rashawn N Tillery whose telephone number is 703-305-0627. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on 703-305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RNT

WENDY R. GARBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY CENTER 2600